## **EXHIBIT 64**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

DEXIA SA/NV, DEXIA HOLDINGS, INC., FSA ASSET MANAGEMENT LLC and DEXIA CREDIT LOCAL SA,

ECF Case

Plaintiffs,

No. 12-cv-4761 (JSR)

VS.

BEAR STEARNS & CO. INC., THE
BEAR STEARNS COMPANIES, INC.,
BEAR STEARNS ASSET BACKED
SECURITIES I LLC, EMC MORTGAGE
LLC (f/k/a EMC MORTGAGE
CORPORATION), STRUCTURED ASSET
MORTGAGE INVESTMENTS II INC.,
J.P. MORGAN MORTGAGE ACQUISITION
CORPORATION, J.P. MORGAN
SECURITIES LLC (f/k/a JPMORGAN
SECURITIES INC.), WAMU ASSET
ACCEPTANCE CORP., WAMU CAPITAL
CORP., WAMU MORTGAGE SECURITIES
CORP., JPMORGAN CHASE & CO. and
JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, N.A.,

Defendants.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF

DAVID BECK

December 7, 2012

1285 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York

Reported by: AYLETTE GONZALEZ, CLR JOB 56345

```
Page 5
1
               DAVID BECK - 12/7/12
2
     EXAMINATION BY
3
     MR. VAN KWAWEGEN:
4
          Q. Good morning, Mr. Beck. Could you
5
    please state your name -- full name and
6
    address for the record, please.
7
          A. David Beck, 71 Wahackme Road, New
8
    Canaan, Connecticut.
               During 2006 and 2007, you were in
9
          0.
     charge of WaMu's -- WaMu's securitization
10
11
    division; is that right?
12
          A. I was in charge of WaMu Capital
13
    Corp. which did the securitizations.
14
               And WaMu Capital Corp. did all the
          0.
15
    securitizations in 2006 and 2007 for WaMu; is
16
    that right?
17
          A .
               Lead manager, yes. There were,
    obviously, co-managers.
18
19
               And for the vast majority of
          0.
20
    securitizations, including Washington Mutual
21
    loans or Long Beach loans, Washington Mutual
22
    Capital Corp. would be a lead manager; is that
23
    correct?
24
          A. It would be a leader or co-lead.
25
    Lead in the matter of the Washington Mutual
```

```
Page 48
 1
               DAVID BECK - 12/7/12
 2
               The subject is: "Review of Long
           0.
 3
    Beach Mortgage".
 4
               Do you see that?
5
          A.
               Yes.
6
          Q.
               Then the rating is opportunities
    for improvement, right, up at the top?
7
8
          A.
               Yes.
9
               First sentence says, "Long Beach
          Q.
10
    Mortgage Company is a non-bank subsidiary of
11
    Washington Mutual, Inc. specializing in
12
    underwriting subprime mortgage loans secured
13
    by one to four-family residences."
14
               You see that?
15
          A.
               I do.
               "Loans originated through broker
16
          Q.
17
    network or purchased through a correspondent
    network," correct? That's what it says?
18
19
              It does.
          A.
20
          Q. Is that your understanding of what
21
     generally Long Beach Mortgage's operations
22
    were in 2005, 2006, 2007?
23
          A. Yes, Long Beach had no retail
24
    branches.
25
          Q.
               And it was specializing in
```

```
Page 85
 1
               DAVID BECK - 12/7/12
2
          0.
               Right in front of me. Too many
3
    folders.
 4
               Mr. Beck, we're going to switch
5
    gears a little bit and talk about WaMu's
6
    higher risk lending strategy. Do you know
7
    what I refer to when I say higher risk lending
8
    strategy at WaMu?
9
               No, I don't.
          A.
10
               During your time at WaMu, were you
          0.
11
     aware that WaMu would implement five-year
12
    plans to provide strategic direction to the
13
    company?
14
          A.
              Yes.
15
          0.
               Were you aware that a new strategic
    plan was proposed in 2004 and started to
16
17
    become implemented in 2005?
18
          A.
               If that's the -- yes, I'm aware
19
    that we did these five-year plans, absolutely.
20
               What was your -- generally
          Q.
21
     speaking, what is your recollection of the
22
    five-year plan that started to become
23
    implemented in 2005? How did it affect you?
          A.
24
               Well, my recollection in 2005 is
25
     that -- related to home loans, that there was
```

```
Page 86
 1
                DAVID BECK - 12/7/12
 2
    just a tremendous amount of investor demand
3
    for mortgage or residential mortgage-backed
 4
    securities, tremendous demand.
5
               The -- the demand led to a review
6
    of higher margin products, which maybe you're
7
    referring to, that got into the five-year
8
    plan.
9
               And so when you said home loans,
10
    you actually meant WCC? Like WCC started to
11
    grow quite rapidly and the number of
12
    securitizations started to grow quite rapidly?
13
          A. So, around that time frame, yes.
14
    Yes.
              I'm going to hand you a document
15
          0.
16
    what will be marked Exhibit 12.
17
               (Exhibit 12, document bearing)
18
          Bates label JPM_WM5385579 through
19
          '5594, marked for identification, as
20
          of this date.)
21
               Mr. Beck, I just handed you a
          0.
22
     document that has been marked as Exhibit 12, a
23
    June 1, 2004 memorandum from Kerry Killinger,
24
    WaMu CEO, to the Board of Directors entitled
25
    "Strategic Direction."
```

- DAVID BECK 12/7/12
- all these products are different. And I
- assume we were doing them in 2005, so we have
- 4 to do them in 2008. But all the guidelines,
- the home equity, credit guideline is going to
- be different from an Alt A.
- 7 Was it your understanding that when
- 8 Washington Mutual would grow the volume of Alt
- 9 A and subprime mortgages between 2005 and
- 10 2008, that the majority of those newly
- originated mortgages would be securitized?
- 12 A. Yes. WaMu only had a certain
- amount of capital every year that they could
- devote to its balance sheet growth and that
- was much smaller than its origination
- 16 capacity.
- 17 Q. And did you believe, in 2005, 2006
- 18 that WaMu should apply a different methodology
- 19 for analyzing the credit risk of the loans
- that it was acquiring, depending on whether
- 21 WaMu would keep the loan on its books or
- whether the loans would be securitized through
- your WCC organization?
- A. I think that the credit risk that
- we analyzed for the loans that we securitized

```
Page 111
 1
                DAVID BECK - 12/7/12
2
          A.
               Well, the -- when you have a sales
3
    force, and I'm talking now not about a
 4
    borrowers' sales force, but a security sales
5
    force that talks to customers, you have
6
    detailed knowledge that you would not
7
    otherwise have about products that they want,
8
    yields that they need to achieve to hit their
9
    targets. Other -- other issues that they
10
    might be interested in. So, if you're only --
11
    if you only have half of that process you --
12
    you must rely on a third party to -- to relay
13
    that information to you.
14
               So you're basically cutting out the
          0.
15
    middle man on Wall Street?
16
               Yeah. Could never cut them out
          A .
17
    entirely, but we can participate in -- in the
18
    distribution. So, manufacture and
19
    distribution, so...
20
               And you were successful in this
          Q.
21
    business model, right?
22
               In 2006, we were successful.
          A .
23
          Q. Turn to the next page, 27. It
24
     says, "Our rapid rise in the rankings is
25
    fueled by our conduit program which focuses on
```

```
Page 112
 1
               DAVID BECK - 12/7/12
 2
    high margin products."
3
               What is the conduit program?
 4
          A.
               The conduit program is the purchase
5
    from third-party originators, small banks and
6
    non-banks of loans and then securitizing them.
7
          Q. And those banks, could they be
8
    corresponding banks, for example, to Long
9
    Beach and underwrite to Long Beach's
10
    quidelines, Long Beach would purchase them and
11
    you would securitize them?
12
          A.
               Generally, no. Generally, no. So,
13
    Long Beach was a broker channel. So, those
14
    loans were brokered and closed in WaMu's name.
15
    These loans were generally closed in the
16
    third-party's name, so...
17
          Q. Put that on the side.
18
          A.
              Yes.
19
               Earlier today, we talked about
          0.
    various OTS examinations in 2004 and 2005. Do
20
21
    you remember that?
22
          A.
               Sure.
23
          Q.
               I show you a document that we
24
    marked as Exhibit 16.
25
               (Exhibit 16, document bearing)
```

```
Page 114
 1
                DAVID BECK - 12/7/12
 2
     the examiners identified weaknesses, including
3
     single family residential underwriting
 4
    including Long Beach Mortgage Corporation."
5
               Do you see that?
6
          A.
               Yes, I do.
7
          Q.
               Were you aware in 2006 that OTS and
8
     FDIC examiners had met with WaMu's board of
9
     directors to discuss concerns about
10
     underwriting at Long Beach Mortgage?
11
          A.
               No.
12
          Q.
               Next page, page 3 of the
13
     presentation, bottom of DEXDEP '3901 says,
14
     "Improved Single Family Underwriting."
15
               Do you see that?
16
          A.
               I do.
17
          0.
               It says, "The 2005 examination
18
    found continuing concerns in the quality of
19
    single family residential underwriting
20
    including Long Beach Mortgage Corporation.
21
     The levels of errors in the loan origination
22
     process, the independence of appraisers and
23
     quality of appraiser assisted automation
24
     valuation model appraisals used to value
25
     single family residential loans."
```

```
Page 115
 1
                DAVID BECK - 12/7/12
 2
               Do you see that?
3
          A.
               I do.
 4
               Were you aware that in 2005, 2006,
          0.
5
    were you aware that government examinations
6
    had found continued concerns with the quality
7
    of single family residential underwriting at
8
    Washington Mutual?
9
          A.
               No, I wasn't aware or aware of this
10
     meeting.
11
          0.
               Were you aware in 2005, 2006 that
12
     government examinations had found continued
13
    concerns in the levels of errors in the loan
14
    origination process at Washington Mutual?
15
          A.
               No.
16
               Or the independence of appraisers?
          Q.
17
          A.
               These are not in any way my areas
18
    of responsibility.
19
               So, Mr. Schneider, your boss or
          0.
    Mr. Rotella, his boss or Mr. Killinger, his
20
21
     boss, never came to you and said, government
22
     examinations had found continued concerns with
23
    the quality of single family and residential
24
     underwriting or the levels of errors in the
25
    loan origination process or the independence
```

- 1 DAVID BECK 12/7/12
- 2 of appraisers in the origination of WaMu
- 3 loans?
- A. Never. Never came to me.
- 5 Q. Did WCC ever disclose through RMBS
- 6 purchases that WaMu board itself had concerns
- 7 about the quality of new -- newly originated
- 8 WaMu loans?
- 9 A. No.
- 10 Q. Did WCC ever disclose to the RMBS
- 11 purchases that the FDIC or OTS had concerns
- 12 about the quality of single-family residential
- 13 underwriting at Washington Mutual?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 O. Or that the FDIC or OTS had
- 16 concerns about the levels of errors in the
- 17 Washington Mutual loan origination process?
- 18 A. No. Our disclosures don't contain
- 19 information about meetings at the board level
- 20 between the OTS and Kerry Killinger. Our
- 21 disclosures do contain tremendous amounts of
- 22 information about the loans and their quality,
- 23 but we're not -- we're not putting in board
- 24 documents into securitizations, nor are
- 25 anybody else to my better knowledge in the

- 1 DAVID BECK 12/7/12
- 2 market place.
- 3 Q. You did not put in the fact that
- 4 OTS had concerns about the loan origination
- 5 processes or appraisal independence and had
- 6 raised that with Washington Mutual board?
- 7 A. No, we did not. We -- we did, I
- 8 think, a rather extensive job of highlighting
- 9 the risks in mortgage-backed securities and
- 10 the underlying loans and the PSAs are pretty
- 11 detailed -- pretty detailed in terms the loans
- 12 and the process. But we're not -- we're not
- 13 putting in internal e-mails or board meeting
- 14 minutes in PSA documents.
- 15 Q. I'm not really talking about
- 16 internal e-mails or board meetings. I'm
- 17 talking about the fact that OTS had raised
- 18 concerns that that had been communicated,
- 19 right?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Did Washington Mutual Capital Corp.
- 22 ever disclose to RMBS investors that the OTS
- 23 had raised concerns about the loan
- 24 underwriting processes at Washington Mutual?
- 25 A. No.

Case 1:12-cv-04761-JSR Document 45-6 Filed 02/05/13 Page 14 of 17 Page 151 DAVID BECK - 12/7/12 A. So, for the -- for the Washington Mutual portfolio, our standard operating procedure was to securitize Long Beach loans, not portfolio them. So, it's a -- I was aware, as we referred to earlier in that slide where we were talking about the strategy, that perhaps we would move to more subprime loans on our balance sheet. And we had a number of places to get subprime loans from; SMF is on here, as well -- which is the specialty mortgage finance we talked about earlier. So, I definitely am aware of putting subprime loans on the balance sheet. The OTS, I think, Kerry is saying may not want us yet to put Long Beach loans on the balance were asking them about, would you be okay with

- 16
- 17 sheet, which we weren't doing. I think we
- 18
- 19 it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 20 During 2005, 2006, did you ask Q.
- 21 Mr. Schneider, Mr. Killinger, Mr. Rotella,
- whether they were comfortable with the credit 22
- 23 of Long Beach mortgages?
- 24 **A**. No.
- 25 Did you ask Ms. Feltgen whether she Q.

Page 152 1 DAVID BECK - 12/7/12 2 was comfortable with the credit of Long Beach 3 mortgages? 4 **A** . No. 5 0. Did you ask anyone in the credit 6 organization whether they were comfortable 7 with the Long Beach mortgages credit during 8 2005 or 2006? 9 No. The credit -- I would assume **A**. 10 the credit organization needs to get 11 comfortable with the Long Beach credit. 12 Q. But you did not ask them? 13 A. I did not. 14 Now, between 2003 and 2007, did 0. 15 Washington Mutual ever slow down the Long 16 Beach origination of mortgages to address 17 underwriting efficiencies or concerns? 18 A. We eventually had to put it out of 19 business. 20 When was it put out of business? Q. 21 A. Probably end of 2007. 22 So, prior to that. So, between 0. 23 2003 and 2007. So 2003, '4, '5, '6, during 24 that time frame, did Washington Mutual ever 25 slow down Long Beach origination to address

Case 1:12-cv-04761-JSR Document 45-6 Filed 02/05/13 Page 16 of 17 Page 153 DAVID BECK - 12/7/12 underwriting deficiencies or concerns? Not to my knowledge. **A**. 0. During the same time frame, did Washington Mutual ever slow down the securitization of Long Beach mortgages to address underwriting deficiencies or concerns? No, not to my knowledge. A. During the same time frame, 2000 --0. let me back up. During 2004, '5 and '6, did you ever ask anyone within the credit organization whether they were comfortable with the credit of WaMu originated loans as opposed to Long Beach originated loans? No. The people that I had

16

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 17 discussions with about comfort were my capital
- 18 markets team and their sales team about what
- 19 our investors would have been telling us. And
- then, of course, we get direct feedback about 20
- 21 the pricing of our new issue securities and
- then how they trade in the secondary market. 22
- 23 So, our focus was were investors
- 24 interested in the product that we had, at what
- 25 price, how did it compare with others in the

- 1 DAVID BECK 12/7/12
- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Now, in putting these criteria
- 4 together, was it your assumption that the
- 5 loans in this pool were generally would have
- 6 been underwritten in accordance with the
- 7 guidelines that had been represented to you?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. If they had not been, a number of
- 10 these parameters could have changed, correct,
- 11 would not be reliable anymore?
- 12 A. That's right.
- 13 Q. Now, before you started at WMB, you
- 14 came from GE?
- 15 A. I did.
- 16 Q. What was your role there?
- 17 A. My last role was chief investment
- 18 officer for GE Financial Assurance.
- 19 O. And in that role, were you
- 20 acquiring mortgage-backed securities or loan
- 21 pools or both?
- 22 A. Mortgage-backed securities.
- 23 Q. And in buying mortgage-backed
- 24 securities, would you have similar worksheets
- 25 to analyze the -- the purchase decision